

Conspiratology and Paranoia

By Samuel L. Blumenfeld

The other day a friend of mine called to ask if I'd read Wayne Johnson's October 13th column in WND about my taking Rush Limbaugh to task for being so harsh on us conspiratologists. Somehow I had missed it, and so I dug it out of the Archives and read it. Indeed, it's a very interesting article, but I fear that Johnson missed the whole point of why people like me and my friend even bother to research the conspiracy.

We do it for one very simple reason. The more you know about what goes on behind the scenes, the better you are able to understand what goes on in front of the scene. For example, when Bill Clinton paid homage to Prof. Carroll Quigley in his acceptance speech at the Democratic National Convention in 1992, the few of us in America who knew the significance of that reference, knew exactly who Clinton was going to serve as President. For, Quigley was not only Clinton's professor at Georgetown University, but also the author of "Tragedy and Hope," the book that exposed in great detail the Rhodes conspiracy for world government.

Also, the fact that Clinton was a Rhodes Scholar was significant, just as significant as the fact that Bill Bradley is also a Rhodes Scholar. The other night, when Bradley was asked who he personally admired most among world leaders, his answer was President Carter, Woodrow Wilson, and Gorbachev. Wilson gave us the Federal Reserve System, the income tax, and entry into World War I. Carter gave us inflation and social malaise. And Gorbachev is a lifelong Communist.

It was Cecil Rhodes who founded a secret society about a hundred years ago that would work toward the creation of a world government, and he created the Rhodes Scholarships to recruit future young leaders into that society. Rhodes said that for a secret society of that kind to succeed, it must gain control of the wealth of the world. Setting up a central bank in America was an essential part of the plan, plus the creation of huge tax-exempt foundations that could further the goals of the globalists.

By now there are several thousand Rhodes Scholars in positions of influence and power subverting our national sovereignty. Of course, you don't have to be a Rhodes Scholar to believe in world government. The idea is now so prevalent among the academic elite that it's now downright respectable, if not mainstream, to believe that our constitution is obsolete. Most Americans would probably like to get rid of the Second Amendment if they could. No other unalienable right--the right to bear arms--has been under such heavy and relentless assault from the mass media, which is controlled by the CFR establishment.

Am I beginning to sound paranoid? There are probably even a few renegade Rhodes Scholars who do not favor world government. But at least those of us who know why the

Rhodes Scholarships were created can look at all of those Rhodies in our government and ask why there are so many of them making policy for the American people – such as going to war against Yugoslavia and making strategic partnerships with all the countries surrounding Russia.

Knowledge does not make one paranoid. Lack of knowledge does. Any Jew in Germany who read Mein Kampf in 1932 would have known that Germany was going to be a very dangerous place to be if Hitler came to power. He would not have been paranoid if he acted on that knowledge. Were Russian anti-communists paranoid in 1916? Was David Koresh paranoid about the U.S. government coming after him with guns and tanks?

Wayne Johnson wrote: “The issue is not so much whether history is being subverted to serve evil, but rather whether such subversion can ultimately be successful.” My view is that evil can indeed be defeated, but at what price in human suffering? The Russians finally got rid of communism after 75 years of totalitarian rule and the slaughter of millions. Nazism was finally defeated after bringing ruin to Europe and destroying millions of lives.

Would it not have been better had Hitler been stopped in 1933 rather than in 1945? And wouldn't it have been better had the plans of Lenin been thwarted in 1916 than in 1989? If knowledge of what the conspirators are planning can be exposed to millions of people, we may yet be able to save this country by electing people to office who understand what is at stake.

Studying the conspiracy does not make one paranoid. But it does tend to make one cynical about politics, government, bureaucracy, and the establishment. Johnson says he once subscribed to Foreign Affairs, the journal of the Council on Foreign Relations, and was bored by it. Well, in order to know what is going on in our education establishment, I have to read journals of education, and there is no more boring body of writing in the world than journals of education, mainly because they are so full of nonsense in convoluted language meant to fill space in order to justify the payment of a salary.

Colleges of education produce thousands of doctors of education who must find employment somewhere in the mammoth education establishment. And so journals and educational research projects proliferate just to keep all of these people busy. Meanwhile, the education system is deliberately dumbing down the American people so that they can be easily led into the new world order with little or no resistance.

Parents who understand what the conspiracy wants to do to their children are in a better position to protect them than those parents who willingly put their children in the hands of the enemy to be lobotomized. That's why it's important to know who is doing what to whom and for what reason.

Most people, sadly to say, do not have the stomach to do this kind of research. But like any hobby or pursuit, it can become quite challenging. There is also a kind of satisfaction one gets in gaining knowledge that is withheld from the public at large. It's nice to be

able to look at a fool like Strobe Talbott, Rhodes Scholar and assistant to Mad Madeleine Halfbright, and know that he's not fooling this writer. He may have the backing of the Council on Foreign Relations, the President, and several multi-billion dollar foundations, but he has the mind of a programmed robot.

Meanwhile, the conspiracy has hijacked our military services to do the dirty work for NATO, the military arm of the Council on Foreign Relations. Kosovo was merely a rehearsal for what is to come. I said as much in the columns I wrote during the war against Yugoslavia. I wrote that the real target is Russia, and that NATO was preparing for this conflict by forming strategic partnerships with all of the countries surrounding Russia. In fact, dissident Alexandre Zinoviev, who recently returned to Russia, said in an interview with Le Figaro in Paris (7/24/99):

"The Russian catastrophe was wanted and programmed by the West. I say this, because I was, at a certain time, an initiate. I read the documents, participated in the studies which, under the pretext of combatting an ideology, were preparing for the death of Russia."

So the question is: Will American men and women be required to fight in this next war over oil in the Caspian region? What do you think? Then there is the World Trade Organization, which will now supercede our constitution with its rulings. Our sovereignty is slowly being whittled away by the CFR establishment, and most Americans haven't a clue.

Whether you want to call these people conspirators, or insiders, or global planners depends on your view of history. But whatever you call them, you should know who they are, what they believe in, and where they want to lead us. For starters, I would advise Wayne Johnson to read "Shadows of Power" by James Perloff. It's a lot shorter than Quigley's book, but just as devastating.

Samuel L. Blumenfeld is the author of eight books on education, including "NEA: Trojan Horse in American Education," "Is Public Education Necessary?" and "The Whole-Language/OBE Fraud." They are available by calling 208-322-4440 or through Amazon.com.